

Making the most of supervision

Judith R Boyland

‘Making the most of supervision’ explores a brief history of the development of professional supervision before addressing key aspects of participation in the supervisory process, roles and responsibilities of participants, models of engagement, and what makes for good supervision.

Introduction

Supervision is a process familiar to all practitioners who work in the field of allied health and to a wide range of professionals who work across diverse fields of human service. So, where did it all begin?

According to Watkins (2013), Freud is typically credited with having initiated the possibility of supervisory practice by way of three events. These are recorded as being (1) consultations with Breuer practitioners about their patients’ hysterical symptomatology, during the 1890s; (2) the holding of weekly theoretical and case discussion meetings in his home beginning in 1902 (Davies, 2020); and (3) the tutoring, or “supervising”, of the father of a little boy, about how best to work with his son, referred to as “Little Hans”, who was experiencing a host of psychological problems.

Freud’s Wednesday night discussion meetings have come to be thought of as the “informal” beginnings of supervision, whereas his work with Little Hans’ father has been considered the more “formal” beginning of supervision. Although Freud did never articulate an actual theory of supervision, he still tends to be thought of as our “first supervisor” – a somewhat contentious issue with those who would give this title to Josef Breuer who was a mentor to the young Sigmund Freud and who helped him to set up his medical practice (Davies, 2020).

Breuer is perhaps best known for his work with a patient, pseudonym “Anna”, who was suffering from paralysis of her limbs, reduction in conscious awareness due to a state of anaesthetics brought on by pain reducing medication, and disturbances of vision and speech. Breuer observed that her symptoms reduced or disappeared after she described them to him, and it is said that Anna humorously called this procedure *chimney sweeping* and coined the term of *the talking cure* for this process of talking about what was happening for her. Laying the groundwork for psychoanalysis, Breuer later referred to this

therapeutic practice as the *cathartic method* (Gay, 1988, p. 65).

Moving forward into the twentieth century and opening on February 16, 1920, the Berlin Poliklinik was the first clinic in the world to offer free psychoanalysis and came to be the model for future institutes. It was the first establishment to use psychoanalysis as a treatment and to establish a tripartite training model of psychoanalytic education to prepare and ‘supervise’ future psychoanalytic practitioners.

The man said to be ‘its heart and soul’ was Max Eitingon. Under Eitingon’s guidance, that preparation of practitioners involved three crucial components...

- Seminars – didactics focused on grounding in theory and conceptualization of that theory into practice;
- Training analysis where each student engaged in personal experience of psychoanalysis; and
- Supervised practical work.

That model was to become known as *The Berlin Model*, or *The Eitingon-Freud Model*. The basic ideas that underlie the model – know your theory, know yourself, and know your practice through supervision – continue to be widely accepted as being of integral importance in any work that not only engages psychoanalytic processes, but is also related to any field of allied health work (Watkins, 2013).

PROFESSIONAL SUPERVISION – WHAT IS IT?

Since its inception, supervision for the allied health professional has attracted a diverse range of definition, highlighting the clinical, personal experience, and teaching/learning aspects of the supervisory process. Stoltenberg and Delworth (1987: 34) focus on the clinical aspect when they define supervision as “an intensive, interpersonally focused, one-to-one relationship in which one person is designated to facilitate the development of therapeutic competence in the other person”. For Lane and Herriot (1990:10) it is “a therapeutic process focusing on the intra- and interpersonal dynamics of the counsellor and their relationship with clients, colleagues, professional supervisors, and significant others”; and for Marais-Styndom (1999), ‘support and learning’ is highlighted with reference to

... a formal process of professional support and learning which enables individual practitioners to develop knowledge and competence, assume responsibility for their own practice, and enhance public protection and safety in complex situations.

Moving forward, Falender and Shafranske (2010:3) expand focus on the teaching/learning alliance where engagement is around “a distinct professional activity in which education and training aimed at developing science-informed practice are facilitated through a collaborative interpersonal process”. 2010 also sees the introduction of the notion of ‘reflective practice’ when Davys and Beddoe refer to a forum for reflection and learning by way of an interactive dialogue between at least two people, one of whom is a supervisor. This dialogue shapes a process of review, reflection, critique, and replenishment for professional practitioners.

What is becoming evident, is that supervision is a professional activity in which practitioners are engaged throughout the duration of their careers, regardless of experience or qualification. The participants are accountable to professional standards and defined competencies and to organisational policy and procedures. However, not until 2003 is the concept of business issues considered as an integral element for discussion in professional supervision. This development was introduced by Armstrong and subsequently tweaked to the current wording as featured in Armstrong, 2018. Armstrong’s definition enunciates supervision as . . .

... “the process whereby a professional can discuss . . .

- (1) personal issues (where appropriate and impacting on work),
- (2) professional/clinical issues,
- (3) business issues, and
- (4) industry/work-related issues

with a qualified professional supervisor, who is usually more experienced than the supervisee, in order to identify and resolve professional concerns and emotional issues, and help the supervisee to evolve professionally in a positive manner.” (Armstrong, 2018[2003]:5).

KEY FUNCTIONS OF PROFESSIONAL SUPERVISION

Reflecting on the collective nature of the notions put forth in defining ‘supervision’, it could be perceived as a forum for reviewing practice alongside policies and procedures and the ethical and practice guidelines and standards of the industry. Attention is focused on accountability for client outcomes while assisting the counsellor to clarify role and responsibilities within and across practice context. Thus, it might be ascertained that the key functions of professional supervision are Educational, Relational, and Developmental. These three functions of supervision are not discrete: but overlap, interplay, and complement.



Figure 1 – The key functions of professional supervision

The aim of supervisory education is to inform a better understanding of the client, the Self, and the therapeutic process. The focus of learning is the knowledge embraced by theories, values, and perspectives that can be applied to enhance the quality and outcomes of practice. While attention is on developing practice-based knowledge, understanding, and skills that will improve the competence and the confidence of the counsellor, it is the learning alliance between supervisor and supervisee that is integral to any educational activity.

The quality of the supervisory relationship is an important ingredient in the success of supervision. There needs to be a degree of warmth, trust, authenticity, and respect between supervisor and supervisee; recognising the personal impact counselling practice can have on the practitioner as well as exploring how one’s personal space and emotional state can impact best practice outcomes. Strategies to deal with self-care are identified along with encouragement and validation. Working through personal-professional presenting issues as may be relevant and appropriate is one aspect of self-care, as is supporting the supervisee in recognising when external professional assistance may be needed in processing personal issues.

Incorporating the creative, direct, and solution-oriented approach of Milton Erickson to all things related to therapeutic intervention, developmental supervision is centered around the notion of what O’Hanlon (2000) defines in therapeutic intervention as moving from ‘liabilities’ to ‘possibilities’ through the practice of doing one thing different. The process is facilitated through exploration and critical reflection and as supervisor and supervisee collaboratively reflect on processes and procedures in practice, attention is focused back to guiding principles as defined in policy, ethics, and scope of practice documents.

WHY SUPERVISION

As an industry expectation, practitioners engage in professional supervision because of the need to meet requirements for industry specific registration, to meet requirement for membership with a professional association, or to comply with requirements within an organisational structure – for example, as might apply for clergy and pastoral personnel working across a religious diocese/archdiocese.

As point of example, the code of ethics and practice for Australian Counselling Association Inc. (ACA) references the need for supervision as defined in articles 4.12.(a)i and 4.12.(a) ii...

4.12 “Competence”.

4.12. (a) i Counsellors must have achieved a level of competence before commencing counselling and must maintain continuing professional development as well as regular and ongoing supervision.

4.12.(a) ii Counsellors must actively monitor their own competence through counselling supervision and be willing to consider any views expressed by their clients and by other counsellors

(Australian Counselling Association, 2022. p.14)

Also stated in *Clinical Supervision Guidelines for Mental Health Services* in Queensland is the mandate that . . .

“On-going supervision for all clinicians involved in the delivery of mental health services is critical to ensure quality assurance in mental health practice, regardless of experience and level of appointment.” (Queensland Health, 2009. p6).

More significant than compliance with a “need” imposed from sources outside of Self, is the “want” that emanates from one’s internal professional motivation, resulting in participating in supervision not because I must, but because I want to. In consultation with a supervisor, the supervisee creates opportunity to reflect on all elements of practice: to look back on therapeutic engagements with clients and critique what was done, what was said, somatic transferences and counter-transferences, and the counsellor/client therapeutic alliance. One reviews what worked and what did not work, and discerns what might be done differently, next time. One also explores the impact that work is having on well-being and the cyclical flow of well-being impacting work. This is the space where the practitioner seeks and accepts support and where there is engagement in mentoring and learning activities that will be beneficial for both personal and professional development.



Figure 2 – The supervisory cycle.

The purpose, goals, and objectives of supervision can be summarised in the following five statements...

- Monitor and promote welfare of clients seen by supervisee;
- Where supervisee is also a supervisor, monitor and promote welfare of clients seen by supervisee’s supervisees;

- Monitor supervisee’s holistic well-being and self-care;
- Promote development of supervisee’s professional identity and competence;
- Fulfil requirement for supervisee’s professional accreditation;
- Fulfil membership and registration requirement of recognised professional body.

Thus, it can be determined that the focus of professional supervision is the need to promote learning, to foster the therapeutic alliance continually, and to continually develop professional practice in all areas.

ROLE OF THE SUPERVISOR

The supervisor’s primary role is to do all that is within their power of control to ensure that the supervisee’s clients are receiving appropriate therapeutic counselling. When the supervision is ‘supervision of supervision’, the supervisor has a dual role – that is, to do all in their power of control to ensure that the supervisee’s practitioner clients are receiving best practice supervision such as to enable them (the practitioners), in turn, to do all in their power to ensure that their clinical clients are receiving appropriate counselling and therapeutic support and intervention.

The supervisor also has an ethical responsibility to ensure that the supervisee is aware of the importance of their own physical, emotional, and psychological health: and supports the supervisee in sustaining a healthy holistic state of well-being. An additional element of responsibility that accompanies the supervisory role is being alert for any symptoms of burn out, transference, countertransference, dependency, co-dependency, or hidden agendas in the supervisee and/or in the supervisee’s practice.

Where signs or symptoms of these reactions are detected, they need to be discussed with the supervisee and if it is felt that there is potential risk to either the supervisee or the supervisee’s clients, the supervisor’s role is to direct the supervisee to seek relevant support. Furthermore, depending on the severity of the condition, the supervisee needs to be directed to cease practice until presenting issues are resolved. Should it be that the supervisor discerns that the supervisee’s clients are at risk of harm – physically, emotionally, or psychologically – the supervisor has no option but to report to the relevant professional association: and in extreme circumstances, to report directly to the office of the Health Ombudsman: Health Care Complaints Commission (HCCC).

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SUPERVISOR

Summarising recommendations suggested in the literature, (Pelling, Barletta, and Armstrong Eds, 2009; Pelling, Armstrong, and Moir-Bussy Eds, 2017), the following list of supervisory duties and responsibilities is offered for reflection . . .

- Challenge supervisee to validate approaches and techniques in professional practice;
- Intervene where client welfare is deemed to be at risk – that is, welfare of supervisee, welfare of supervisee’s clients, and – where applicable – welfare of clients engaged with supervisee’s supervisees;
- Provide information relating to alternative approaches for the supervisee to consider;

- Monitor basic micro-skills and advanced skills, including transference and counter-transferences and dependency and co-dependency issues;
- Encourage supervisee's on-going professional education;
- Ensure supervisee is aware of scope of practice and ethical guidelines and commits to maintaining professional standards across all areas of practice;
- Challenge and support supervisee in professional growth;
- Monitor supervisee's holistic well-being;
- Provide consultation when necessary;
- Discuss administrative procedures;
- For supervisees who are in private practice, also discuss business practice issues as may be relevant.

Reflecting the ethos expressed by the Victorian Department of Health (2012), it is suggested that for the supervisory process to be successful, it is important that both supervisor and supervisee are involved in planning processes and the setting of the agenda items, and that each party has a clear understanding of how their own position and positions of other stakeholders across the industry contribute to the wholistic success of the supervisory alliance. It is also imperative that the supervisor and supervisee hold mutual respect for each other, that the contributions of each party is valued, and that there are regular opportunities to meet and to discuss practice behaviours and matters of concern, and to discern ways to address emerging issues, to improve effectiveness in the field of operation, and to enhance client well-being.

ROLE OF THE SUPERVISEE

Each participant in the supervisory relationship has a role to play. For the supervisee, that role is to engage in processes of reflective practice through exploration and critical reflection; and in that reflection, to be open to learning that is focused on knowledge, theories, values, and perspectives that can be applied to enhance the quality and outcomes of practice. It is also the role of the supervisee to explore how personal reactions and emotional well-being are impacting on the counsellor/client relationship and all aspects of practice.

Pivotal to the role of the supervisee is the review of client outcomes, therapeutic and administrative practices, organisational policies and procedures, and ethical and practice standards of the industry. Within this broader industry arena, a significant aspect of the role of supervisee, is to reflect on the broader professional context of the field of practice within which there is engagement, to be aware of the organisational context of practice, and to clarify one's own role and responsibilities within the specific context of engagement.

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF SUPERVISEE

For the supervisory process to be effective, both supervisor and supervisee need to be engaged and committed. The Supervisee's engagement begins with establishing a daily routine of reflective practice which begins with making regular opportunity to take time to think about work and the practice of client support (Morrell, 2013). This involves focussing on the basic needs of safety, belonging, and dignity, and discerning how autonomic regulating behaviours are working to enhance or relationship with both Self and Client.

In a similar vein as defining duties and responsibilities of the supervisory role, the following list of supervisee duties and responsibilities is offered for reflection. Data sourced through personal discussion with clinicians and extracted for Pelling, Barletta, and Armstrong Eds (2009) and Pelling, Armstrong, and Moir-Bussy Eds (2017) . . .

- Uphold ethical guidelines and professional standards;
- Discuss client cases with the aid of written case notes and video/audio devices as may be appropriate in relation to specific contexts;
- Validate diagnoses and professional judgements made;
- Validate approaches and techniques used to address presenting issues;
- Consult supervisor or designated contact person in cases of emergency;
- Maintain a commitment to professional growth, on-going education, and the profession;
- Complete homework tasks as may be either negotiated or directed;
- Implement supervisor directives in subsequent sessions;
- Be open to change and incorporate alternative methods of practice.

QUALITIES AND SKILLS OF AN EFFECTIVE SUPERVISOR

Referencing the emergence of 'counselling supervision' as a professional specialty, Dye and Borders (1990) highlight the notion that professional supervisors require specific training in processes of supervision and in industry related topics. They also highlight the notion that effective counsellors are not necessarily effective supervisors. According to Armstrong (2020) . . .

Professional supervisors are generally experienced, well-rounded professionals who have experience in leadership roles, policymaking, motivating others, administration, working at the coal-face, and some form of knowledge that is parallel to any speciality areas in which their supervisees work (p.33).

Effectiveness is directly linked with outcome. Thus, when measuring supervisor effectiveness, it would seem to be reasonable to step beyond the supervision session and the supervisee in a two-phase process and focus on the client and client well-being. How helpful is the supervision session for the supervisee? How helpful are the supervisee's support and intervention strategies for the client?

Research findings across allied health professions suggest that effective supervisors negotiate with the supervisee a contract that clarifies roles and responsibilities. They also negotiate session agendas that reflect supervisee need and adapt the style and content of sessions to the needs and learning style of the supervisee. They value the supervisory alliance and from a platform grounded in legal and ethical considerations, they acknowledge the dignity of each participant and build positive relationships with supervisees. They create and sustain an atmosphere of trust, safety, belonging, respect and integrity (Armstrong, 2020; Leosch, 1995; Snowdon et al, 2020)

Within supervision sessions effective supervisors use basic counselling skills such as listening, reflecting, mirroring, empathy, compassion, and encouragement. They facilitate the process of supervision so that supervisees are enabled to define their own goals, to reach their own decisions, and to become self-directed. They judge without being judgmental and offer

clear guidance and direction when needed. They also encourage professional development and provide/suggest resources for the supervisee to consider.

Effective supervisors are available for consultation between sessions – within reason. They support their supervisees through personal issues that may impact best practice, and they promote reflective practice by providing a safe space for reflection as a means to transform practice and to promote professional growth. They demonstrate practice skills and expertise by relating practice to theory and disclosing professional knowledge that is relevant to the supervisee's presenting concerns. They ask open questions, give frank and honest feedback, and where applicable, discuss business practices and business management strategies. They discuss industry focused ethics and legislation and support innovative practice by encouraging supervisees to bring new ideas into their practice and service delivery.

CONTEXT OF SUPERVISION SESSIONS

Professional supervision offers the opportunity for a practising clinician and a professional supervisor to critically reflect on the supervisee's practice, focusing on interactions across the supervisee's client base, within the supervisee's workplace, and across the broader industry arena. As previously stated, supervision has an educative, relational, and developmental function. It is a space for mentorship and support.

Supervision sessions can be individual face-to-face supervision with supervisor and supervisee, group supervision led by a registered supervisor, or peer supervision within a collegial context of mutual support. It can also be distance mode using phone, face time, Zoom or Skype. Mixed mode of face-to-face and electronic may also be an option when busy schedules in both private and professional aspects of life are the order of the day.

Undertaken at a prescheduled time and on an "as-needed" basis, there is a minimum requirement for clinicians to participate in professional supervision in order to maintain registration with a professional body or to work within government or agency arenas – for example, Queensland Department of Health regulates one (1) hour per week of supervision for practitioners of under two (2) years' experience in the field, one (1) hour per fortnight for practitioners with two – five (2-5) years field experience, and one (1) hour per month for practitioners with more than five (5) years field experience.

The recommendation of Australian Counselling Association (ACA) for participation in supervision is one (1) hour professional supervision per 20 hours client contact; with the requirement for renewal of registration being 10 hours participation in professional supervision per year. For supervisors to maintain registration to ACA College of Counselling Supervisor, there is requirement of 10 hours supervision of supervision in addition to the 10 hours professional supervision. Each allied health professional association has its own specified requirements.

TYPES OF SUPERVISION

Supervision can take many shapes and forms, some of which are defined as follows.

Professional supervision: Professional supervision is an interactive dialogue between at least two people, one of whom

is a trained supervisor. This dialogue shapes a process of review, reflection, critique, support, and education that incorporates evaluation of client and workplace relationships, associated administrative practices, personal and professional support, education, and business practices as may be appropriate and relevant.

Clinical supervision: The focus of clinical supervision is evaluation of client outcomes with a view to enhancing professional practice skills, raising levels of competence and confidence, and ensuring quality of service to clients.

Cultural supervision: Culturally relevant supervisory arrangements explicitly recognise the influence of the social and cultural contexts. They acknowledge diversity of knowledge and plurality of meanings, and they use collaborative approaches to strengthen practice from cultural perspectives.

Group supervision: Group supervision takes place between an appointed supervisor and a group of clinicians or a multi-disciplinary group. Participants can benefit from the collaborative contributions of group members as well as the guidance of the supervisor.

Peer supervision: Peer supervision applies to collaborative learning within a supervisory forum that consists of a pair or a group of professional colleagues of equal standing.

Formal supervision: Supervision that occurs in scheduled sessions and provides dedicated time for reflection and analysis in a setting that is removed from day-to-day practice is defined as being "formal".

Informal supervision: Reflection and learning focused discussions that capitalise on a heightened awareness and experiential engagement with an event is termed "informal". It occurs in preparation for, during, or immediately following a practice situation such as might be associated with emergency support following a critical incident event. A typical example could be represented by cyclone, flood, drought, and bushfire responses.

Line supervision: Focus of line supervision is on day-to-day operational matters and the supervisor is the line manager – that is, the person to whom the practitioner is accountable or the person to whom the practitioner reports within the organisational structure of the employing body.

Internal supervision: Internal supervision takes place between a practitioner and a supervisor within a work place.

External supervision: External supervision takes place between a practitioner and a supervisor who is not an employee of the same organization/agency as the supervisee.

SUPERVISION MODELS

Models of professional supervision can be aligned under three (3) umbrellas, reflecting the three (3) differential functions as cited above, educational, relational, and developmental.

There are **Psychotherapy based models** where theoretical orientation informs the observation and selection of clinical data and where focus is on the meaning and relevance of the selected data. Approaches underpinning Psychotherapy based models include (a) Psychodynamic which draws on clinical data and (b) Feminist which affirms that the personal is also political and is oriented towards gender fairness, flexibility, interaction, and life-span integration. The (c) Cognitive-Behavioural approach focuses on cognition and behaviour and the (d) Person-Centered approach is grounded in Rogerian

theory and focussed through a perspective of collaboration (Frawley-O'Dea and Sarnat, 2000; Haynes, Corey, and Moulton, 2003; Lambers, 2007; Liese and Beck, 1997).

Developmental models are defined by stage progression as the supervisee moves from beginning practitioner to highly skilled and highly experienced practitioner. Ronnestad and Skovholt (2003) proffer the notion of a six (6) phase approach – suggesting that the use of 'phase' dispels connotation of hierarchy and sequential ordering and focuses the gradual and continuous nature of learning and development. Falender and Shafranske (2004) reference a three (3) levelled stepped approach where the supervisee is guided through learning experiences focussed on the development of skills, abilities, and knowledge and where summative assessment of performance is ongoing and progressive. The focus on 'competency' is further discussed by Falender (2014) when she highlights how competency-based supervision enhances accountability.

Models of supervision that are focused through discrimination (Bernard, 1979), systems (Holloway, 1995), reflective learning (Ward and House, 1998), and schema (Haynes, Corey and Moulton, 2003) are referred to as **Integrative models** (Haynes, Corey, and Moulton, 2003). According to Stoltenberg and McNeill (2010), integrative models focus on counsellor development as movement over time, experience, and training: movement that Forster (2011) refers to as a 'parallel process' being born out of the ability of the supervisee to bring to supervision aspects of the client they do not know that they know, with the supervisor being drawn into reciprocating behaviour as co-explorer of what is happening for both supervisee and the supervisee's client. Forster also states that 'Integrative' is not a licence for a 'personal potpourri'. Rather, it is grounded in a deeply held and constantly reviewed sense of what is in the best interests of each client.

One of the most commonly used frameworks of supervision integration is Proctor's Model. Derived from the work of Bridgid Proctor (Proctor, 2010): The model describes three aspects of the tasks and responsibilities of supervisor and supervisee: Normative (management), Formative (learning) and Restorative (support). The 'Normative' aspect is about maintaining standards of practice and care, the 'formative' highlights the educational function, and the 'restorative' focuses on provision of a supportive setting with space for clinicians to vent their feelings in a listening environment.

Broadly speaking, supervision models fall into three categories of operation and participation – individual, group, and peer – each of which has its own benefits and challenges as well as being empirically defined by supervisees and supervisors in the field.

Characteristics of **individual** models include...

- Agenda is tailored to individual need of supervisee;
- Allow for "actual" case studies to be discussed and examined without concerns of breach in confidentiality;
- Enable supervisee to explore practice weaknesses without feeling defensive or exposed;
- Ad hoc sessions are useful for providing more immediate support and learning from difficult situations that arise;
- A trusting and supportive supervisory relationship can develop more quickly;
- Allow for more in-depth reflection and discussion in relation to both personal and professional matters;
- No sense of inferiority in the presence of other practitioners who may be more experienced or more vocal;

- Supervisor and supervisee need to be appropriately matched;
- Resource and time intensive;
- Need experienced and trained supervisors to be able to provide reliable ad hoc as well as scheduled sessions.

Characteristics of **group** models include...

- Opportunities to learn from others and appreciate alternate points of view;
- Encourage, support, and validation of experiences;
- May be an efficient use of time and resources – for example, in a workplace situation, issues that are relevant to most staff, such as policies and procedures, can be discussed in group rather than one-to-one;
- May help develop skills which are transferable to other practice situations – for example, working in teams and facilitating groups;
- Fear of being judged;
- Risk of quieter or less experienced practitioners being overshadowed or intimidated by the louder or more experienced or pushy participants;
- Interactions between members have potential to detract from learning – for example, disagreement and competition;
- A cohesive group may make it difficult for individual or newer members to express different views or challenge the group norms. This can limit new ideas, constructive debate, and sound decision making;
- Difficult to meet individual needs of all participants as discussions remain generalised and do not meet participant's specific needs in a satisfactory way;
- As issues of confidentiality need to be carefully monitored, there is little opportunity for individual participants to discuss "real", current, and presenting issues that arise in clinical practice;
- Clear expectations need to be set;
- Clear ground rules need to be established;
- Time needs to be carefully monitored;
- Facilitating supervisor needs to have sound knowledge of core supervisory skills, experience and understanding of group dynamics, and the ability to adapt knowledge, skills, experience, and understanding to a group supervisory context – particularly "this" group in "this" context: whatever "this" may represent.

Characteristics of **peer** models include...

- Participants tend to feel less threatened and more comfortable in using skills and resources of trusted colleagues to support reflection on practice;
- Peers will usually be familiar with the situation being discussed;
- As a sole method, less appropriate for the less experienced practitioner;
- There is risk of sessions becoming chat and complain forums;
- Sessions may become too informal, lacking the process and challenge to enable growth;
- Limited opportunity for accountability and education when peers are inexperienced;
- In the absence of a group leader there is greater need for a clear structure and specific outcome objectives;
- There is opportunity to be highly productive when participants are experienced;
- Require greater commitment from the group.

CONTENT OF SUPERVISION

The nature of supervision will change over time, depending on the growth in experience and qualifications of the supervisee – for example, as discussed by Knight (2017), inexperienced supervisees would be expected to initially require the supervisor to assume more of a teaching role, helping them improve their practice and meet agency mandates. Turner-Daly and Jack (2017) suggest that, as practitioners become more experienced, one might find that there is less need for case management and more need for in-depth reflection and professional development.

When using the RISE UP model of professional supervision developed by Armstrong (2020/2018/2003), focussed discussion will incorporate aspects of evaluation (anything to do with people – for example, clients, colleagues, work-place relationships, interaction with any person encountered within the scope of supervisee's practice), education (modalities, interventions, professional documents, theory), administration (anything to do with paper – for example, ethics documents, scope of practice documents, policies, procedures, recording/reporting documentation), and personal and professional support (holistic well-being): where I am at the core of my Being, is the Me I take into the therapeutic space. For supervisees in private practice, aspects of business building and business management will also be discussed.

This holistic model of professional supervision is in contrast to pure clinical supervision, where the focus is on reflection, critique, and review of the evaluation component as it relates to clinical work. As referenced by Queensland Health (2009)...

Clinical supervision can be seen as a process that promotes personal and professional development within a supportive relationship, formed in order to promote high clinical standards and develop expertise by supporting staff and helping them to prevent problems in busy practice settings (p8).

GOOD SUPERVISION

Echoing the words of Morrell (2013), the essence of making the most of supervision is encapsulated within the intrinsic belief that I deserve good supervision. Good supervision is not the same as performance appraisal, crisis management, line management, complaining, counselling, debriefing, coaching, debating, or chatting. However, sometimes it will contain elements of each of these.

Good supervision is not about control. It is about empowerment – empowerment of the supervisee which leads to empowerment of the client. It will help practitioners to reflect on their own therapeutic practice, to provide the absolute best professional service to clients, and to manage the complex situations and conflicting work pressures that arise from time to time. Good supervision will help the practitioner to recognise ethical dilemmas and clarify professional boundaries, to focus on possibility, and to challenge Self to recognise strengths, own vulnerabilities, and find what Pearson (1991) describes as, 'the hero within'. From this space, the practitioner will grow in competence and confidence, develop professionally, and constantly improve the quality of practice and delivery of service.

Good supervision will also help the supervisee to feel energised, motivated, and in control; to be ever mindful of paying

attention to their holistic wellbeing – psychological, emotional, physical, spiritual; and to clarify hopes, dreams, and expectations. The ultimate gift to the professional Self is ensuring that one's own supervision is good supervision and that one makes the most of every supervision session: embracing the opportunity to seek and find what James (1890) describes in terms of the conscious identity of the empirical Self: that place where I meets Me and I like what I see.

Bio

Dr Judith Boyland is a clinical counsellor, professional supervisor, supervisor of supervision, behaviour consultant, and life coach and is principal practitioner of "judy boyland counselling", located in Redland Bay, Queensland. Prior to establishing her own counselling practice, Judith worked in the field of education and for some 40 years enjoyed teaching and learning experiences across all sectors – early childhood, primary, secondary, and tertiary – where she held roles of teacher, behaviour consultant, counsellor, and school principal.

Her Masters studies were in the field of organisational culture and leadership and her Doctoral studies were in the field of social science focused through the discipline of counselling.

Judith is currently Vice President on the Board of Australian Counselling Association where she holds the role of Chair of Ethics and Complaints Tribunal.

References

- Armstrong, P. (2020). *RISE UP: Relationship based integrated supervision and education to unlock potential*. Stafford, AU: Kwik Kopy. (Prior versions published 2018, 2003)
- Australian Counselling Association (2022). *The code of ethics and practice of the association for counsellors in Australia*. (Version 16). Brisbane, AU: Australian Counselling Association. (Original version published 2000)
- Bernard, J. (1979). Supervisor Training: A Discrimination Model. *Counselor education and supervision (CES)*. 19(1). pp 2-79. doi:10.1002/j.1556-6978.1979.tb00906.x
- Davies, B. (2020). Freud at home: The Wednesday psychological society. Curator's Blog. London. England. Freud Museum London. Retrieved from <https://www.freud.org.uk/2020/05/14/freud-at-home-the-wednesday-psychological-society/>
- Davys, A. & Beddoe, L. (2010). *Best practice in supervision: A guide for the helping professions*. London, UK: Jessica Kingsley.
- Dye, H. & Borders, L. (1990). Counseling supervisors: Standards for preparation and practice. *Journal of Counseling & Development*. 69, 27-32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.1990.tb01449.x>. Retrieved from https://libres.uncg.edu/ir/uncg/f/L_Borders_Counseling_1990.pdf
- Falender, C. (2014). Clinical supervision in a competency-based era. *South African journal of psychology*.44(1). pp6-17. doi:10.1177/0081246313516260
- Falender C. & Shafranske, E. (2004). *Clinical supervision: A competency-based approach*. Washington. DC. American Psychological Association.
- Falender, C. & Shafranske, E. (2010). *Psychotherapy-based*

- supervision models in an emerging competency-based era: A commentary. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 47(1), 45–50. doi:10.1037/a0018873
- Forster, S. (2011). Integrative supervision. *Contemporary psychotherapy*, 3(2).
- Frawley-O'Dea, M., & Sarnat, J. (2000). *The supervisory relationship: A contemporary psychodynamic approach*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Gay, P. (1988). *Freud: A life for our times*. London, UK: J. M. Dent & Sons Ltd.
- James, W. (1890). *The principles of psychology: Volume I*. pp291-401 [Etext Conversion Project – Nalanda Digital Library]. Henry Holt and Co. Retrieved from http://library.manipaldubai.com/DL/the_principles_of_psychology_vol_I.pdf
- Haynes, R., Corey, G. & Moulton, P.O. (2003). *Clinical supervision in the helping professions: A practical guide*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole-Thomson Learning
- Holloway, E. (1995). *Clinical supervision: A systems approach*. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage Publications Inc. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781452224770>
- Knight Z. (2017). A proposed model of psychodynamic psychotherapy linked to Erik Erikson's eight stages of psychosocial development. *Clinical psychology and psychotherapy*, 24(5). pp.1029-1220. doi:10.1002/ccp.2066
- Stoltenberg, C., & McNeill, B. (2010). *IDM supervision: An integrated developmental model for supervising counselors and therapists* (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Lambers, E. (2007). A person-centred perspective on supervision. In M. Cooper, M. O'Hara, P. F. Schmid, & G. Wyatt (Eds.), *The handbook of person-centred psychotherapy and counselling* (pp. 366–378). London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lane, J. & Herriot, P. (1990). Self-ratings, supervisor ratings, positions and performance. *Journal of occupational psychology*, 63(1). pp. 77-88. doi: 10:1111/j.2044-8325.1990.tb00511.x
- Liese, B.S. and Beck, J.S. (1997) Cognitive Therapy Supervision. In: Watkins, C.E., Ed., *Handbook of Psychotherapy Supervision*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester UK, 114-133. John Wiley & Sons.
- Loesch, L. (1995). Assessment of Counselor Performance. *ERIC Digest*. United States Department of Education. Retrieved from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED388886.pdf>
- Marais-Strydom, (1999). Mentoring and Supervision Policy Paper: Best Practice for mentoring and supervision. *OT AUSTRALIA 2000*. p4.
- McConnell, S. (2022). Integrated Somatic Trauma Therapy. Online course. EmbodyLab.
- McMahon, M. & Patton, W. (2002). *Supervision in the helping professions: A practical approach*. Frenchs Forest, AU: Prentice Hall.
- Morrell, M. (2013). *Supervision matters: The complete guide to reflective supervision for health and social services*. Fullarton, AU: Margaret Morrell and Associates Ltd
- Occupational Therapy Board of Australia, (2014). *Supervision guidelines for occupational therapy*. Retrieved from: <http://www.occupationaltherapyboard.gov.au/Codes-Guidelines.asp>
- O'Hanlon, B. (2000). *Do one thing different: Ten simple ways to change your life*. New York, NY: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Pearson, C. (1991). *Awakening the heroes within: Twelve archetypes to help us find ourselves and transform our world*. New York, NY: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Pelling, N., Armstrong, P., & Moir-Bussy, A. [Eds]. (2017). *The practice of counselling and clinical supervision*. Samford Valley, AU: Australian Academic Press.
- Pelling, N., Barletta, J., & Armstrong, P. [Eds]. (2009). *The practice of counselling supervision*. Samford Valley, AU: Australian Academic Press.
- Personal communication with supervisors and supervisees. Queensland Health, (2009). *Clinical supervision guidelines for mental health services*. Brisbane, AU: Queensland Government.
- Rønnestad, M. & Skovholt, T. (2003) The journey of the counselor and therapist: Research findings and perspectives on professional development. *Journal of Career Development*: 30(1), pp5-44. doi:10.1023/A:1025173508081
- Snowdon, D. et al, (2020). Effective clinical supervision of allied health professionals: a mixed methods study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(2). Retrieved from <https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-019-4873-8>
- Stoltenberg, C. & Delworth, U. (1987). *Supervising counsellors and therapists*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Turner-Daly, B. & Jack, G. (2014). Rhetoric vs reality in social work supervision: The experiences of a group of child care social workers in England. *Child and family social work*, 22(1). pp 36-46. doi:10.1111/cfs.12191
- Victorian Government, (2012). *Supervision and delegation framework for allied health assistants*. Melbourne, AU: Victorian Government, Department of Health.
- Ward, C. & House, R. (1998). Counseling Supervision: A Reflective Model. *Counselor education and supervision (CES)*, 38(1). pp2-60. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6978.1998.tb00554.x>
- Watkins, C. (2013). The beginnings of psychoanalytic supervision: The crucial role of Max Eitingon. *The American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 2013(73), 254-270. doi:10.1057/ajp.2013.15.